UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,640	11/12/2003	Rameshkumar G. Illikkal	42P16966	8973

8791   7590   06/29/2007
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
MERED, HABTE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2616	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *12 November 2003*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-25* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-25* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *12 November 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date *3/17/05*.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 11/12/2003.

2.   Claims 1-25 are pending.   Claims 1, 8, 14, and 21 are the base independent

claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1.   **Claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, 17-22, and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Banerjee (US 6, 820, 127 B2) in view of Brustoloni et al (US 6,

625, 149 B1), hereinafter referred to as Brustoloni.

Banerjee teaches a method and system for establishing cache for storing

Protocol Control Block.

2.   Regarding **claims 1 and 14**, Banerjee teaches a method and an article of

manufacture with a machine accessible medium that includes a content when accessed

by a machine causes the machine to execute the steps comprising: receiving a packet

at a network device **(Figure 5, step 502 and Column 7:25-30)**; pre-fetching a protocol

control block (PCB) associated with the packet into a cache **(Figure 5, step 522 and

Column 8:1-6 and also Figure 4, step 414)**; and retrieving the PCB from the cache

when a processing unit is ready to process the packet **(Figure 5, steps 504, 508, 512

and 513 and Column 7:30-42)**.

Banerjee, however, fails to expressly disclose queuing the received packet for

processing. However, Banerjee does in fact teach that the socket process has a

receive and send buffers in Column 2:10-15 and in Column 3:33-38 that a priority is

assigned to each process which strongly implies the presence of a queuing system in

Banerjee.

Brustoloni teaches a receiver capable of handling packets based on different

protocols.

Brustoloni teaches queuing the received packets for processing. **(See Figure 3,**

element 80)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Banerjee's method to incorporate the step of queuing the

received packets for processing. The motivations for queuing the received packets is to

prevent the overflow of the socket send buffers.

2.   Regarding **claim 8**, Banerjee discloses an apparatus **(See Figure 2)** comprising:

a receive unit to receive a packet **(Figure 2, elements 218 and 220)**; a pre-fetch unit

coupled to the receive unit to pre-fetch a protocol control block (PCB) associated with

the packet into a cache **(Figure 2, elements 208 and 208A)**; and a processing unit

coupled to the pre-fetch Unit to retrieve the PCB from the cache and process the packet

(Figure 2, elements 202 and 204).

Banerjee, however, fails to expressly disclose a pre-fetch unit coupled to the

receive unit queuing the received packet for processing.

Brustoloni teaches a pre-fetch unit **(Figure 3, element 50 and see also**

Column5: 38-45) coupled to the receive unit **(Figure 3, element 82)** queuing the

received packet for processing **(Figure 3, element 80 and Column 6:55-65)**.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Banerjee's apparatus by incorporating a pre-fetch unit

coupled to the receive unit queuing the received packet for processing. The motivations

for queuing the received packets is to prevent the overflow of the socket send buffers as

stated in Brustoloni Column 6:66-67.

3.   Regarding **claim 21**, Banerjee discloses a system comprising: a receive unit to

receive a packet **(Figure 2, elements 218, 220)**; a memory coupled to the receive unit

to store the received packet **(Figure 2, element 209)**; a memory controller coupled to

the memory to manage the memory **(Figure 2, element 208)**; a pre-fetch unit coupled

to the receive unit to pre-fetch a protocol control block (PCB) associated with the packet

into a cache**(Figure 2, element 208A)**; and a processing unit to retrieve the PCB from

the cache and process the packet **(Figure 2, elements 202 and 204)**.

Banerjee, however, fails to expressly disclose a pre-fetch unit coupled to the

receive unit queuing the received packet for processing.

Brustoloni teaches a pre-fetch unit **(Figure 3, element 50 and see also**

Column5: 38-45) coupled to the receive unit **(Figure 3, element 82)** queuing the

received packet for processing **(Figure 3, element 80 and Column 6:55-65)**.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Banerjee's apparatus by incorporating a pre-fetch unit

coupled to the receive unit queuing the received packet for processing. The motivations

for queuing the received packets is to prevent the overflow of the socket send buffers as

stated in Brustoloni Column 6:66-67.

4.   Regarding **claims 4, 13, 18, and 25**, the combination of Banerjee and Brustoloni

discloses a method, further comprising sending an interrupt to notify the processing unit

of the receipt of the packet. **(See Banerjee Column 2:29-34 and Figure 7, step 704**

and Brustoloni Column 5:33-37 and Figure 3, element 40 (i.e. interrupt unit))

5.   Regarding **claims 5, 12, and 19**, Banerjee discloses a method, wherein pre-

fetching a PCB associated with the packet into a cache comprises pre-fetching a PCB

associated with the packet into a cache of the processing unit. **(See Column 5:29-33)**

6.   Regarding **claims 6 and 20**, Banerjee discloses a method, further comprising

storing the packet in a memory coupled to the processing unit. **(Column 6:2-5)**

7.   Regarding **claims 7 and 17**, Banerjee discloses a method, further comprising

processing the packet. **(Column 2:25-28 and Figure 7, Blocks 704 and 718)**

8.   Regarding **claims 9 and 22,** the combination of Banerjee and Brustoloni

discloses an apparatus and system where the receive unit is a network interface card.

(Banerjee Column 2:30 and Figure 7, Block 702 and also Brustoloni Column 4:25-

26)

9.   **Claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 16, 23, and 24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Banerjee in view of Brustoloni as applied to claims 1, 8, 14,

and 21 above, and further in view of Ganfield (US Pub. No. 20040218631).

Ganfield discloses a method and apparatus for implementing packet work area

access and buffer sharing.

10.   Regarding **claims 2, 10, 15, and 23**, the combination of Banerjee and Brustoloni

fails to disclose a method, apparatus and system, further comprising pre-fetching a

header associated with the packet in cache.

Ganfield discloses a method, apparatus and system, further comprising pre-

fetching a header associated with the packet in cache. **(Figure 5B, elements 530 and**

526 and Paragraphs 32 and 38)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the combination of Banerjee's and Brustoloni's

apparatus, system and method by incorporating a step for comprising pre-fetching a

header associated with the packet in cache. The motivation to save the header

associated with the packet into the cache memory is to minimize processor time by

minimizing latency caused by unnecessary repeated access to memory as implied by

Ganfield in Paragraphs 38 and 39.

11.   Regarding **claims 3, 11, 16, and 24,** the combination of Banerjee and Brustoloni

fails to disclose a method, apparatus and system, further comprising retrieving the

packet header from the cache when the processing unit is ready to process the packet.

Ganfield discloses a method, apparatus and system, further comprising retrieving

the packet header from the cache when the processing unit is ready to process the

packet. **(See Paragraph 39)**

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Banerjee's and Brustoloni's apparatus, system and method by incorporating a step for retrieving the packet header from the cache when the processing unit is ready to process the packet. The motivation to save the header associated with the packet into the cache memory and use it subsequently to process similar packets is to minimize processor time by minimizing latency caused by unnecessary repeated access to memory as implied by Ganfield in Paragraphs 38 and 39.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Habte Mered whose telephone number is 571 272 6046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris H. To can be reached on 571 272 7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

HM
6-19-2007

DORIS H. TO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600